	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	09/912,427 -		NEEDHAM ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	George C. Neur	auter, Jr.	2143	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) George C. Neurauter, Jr.	(3)			
(2) <u>Sharmini Green</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 03 July 2007				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)∐ applicant's	representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)∐ was not rea	ched. h)⊠ Ň	/A.	
compliant appeal brief was unintentional and that a petition to revive the application would be submitted. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	_ Ex	caminer's signa	ature, if required	